

Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation

Agency Update

Virginia Association of Counties June 15, 2017 Jennifer Mitchell Director Rail and Public Transportation Systems

- 41 Transit Systems
- 76 Human Service Operators
- 1 Commuter Rail Operator (VRE)
- 18 Transportation Demand Management Agencies
- 15 Metropolitan Planning Organizations
- 9 Shortline Railroads
- 2 Class I Freight Railroads (CSX, NS)
- 1 Intercity Passenger Rail Operator (Amtrak)







Virginia Transit Systems





Transit Programming Highlights



Focus on State of Good Repair

- 467 Replacement Revenue Vehicles
- 163 Buses to be Rehabbed/Rebuilt
- 132 Replacement Metro Railcars
- 360 Railcars to be Rehabbed
- WMATA PRIIA Match: \$50M/year through 2020

Limited Capacity Expansion

- 61 Service Expansion Buses
- 28 Service Expansion Metro Railcars
- BayTransit
- Bus Bay Expansion at East Falls Church Metro Station
- Engineering for Extension of Transitway (Crystal City/Potomac Yard) to Pentagon City





Existing Transit Capital Revenue Sources

- Transportation Trust Fund 1986 Session
 - 14.7% dedicated to transit; of this amount, 25% allocated to Transit Capital ~ \$37 M annually
- Recordation Taxes
 - \$0.01/\$100 ~ \$15 M annually
- Retail Sales and Use Tax 0.3% increase in HB2313
 - 0.075% dedicated to transit; of this amount, 25% allocated to Transit Capital ~ \$20 M annually
- Sales Tax on Fuel
 - 5.1% tax; 3.11% of revenue dedicated to transit capital ~ \$28 M annually
- CPR Bonds 20% minimum; \$110 M annually
- Federal Transit Administration ~ \$41 M annually

	 2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total
1986 Special Session (14.7%)	\$ 36.0 \$	37.3 \$	37.9 \$	38.5 \$	38.9 \$	39.6 \$	228.2
Retail Sales and Use Tax	19.3	20.3	20.6	21.0	22.4	22.8	126.4
Sales Tax on Fuel	27.2	27.4	27.6	27.9	28.2	28.5	166.8
Recordation Tax	15.6	15.3	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.4	88.5
Transportation CPR Bonds	110.0	77.3	50.0	-	-	-	237.3
Federal Transit Administration	41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0	246.0
Total	\$ 249.1 \$	218.6 \$	191.5 \$	142.8 \$	144.9 \$	146.3 \$	1,093.2

• Bond funds represent 44% of FY18 transit capital funding

Revenue Advisory Board – Key Questions

• PRPT•

How much funding is needed?
Estimate state transit capital needs

- What are potential funding sources?
 - Examine potential revenue sources
- Which projects should be funded?
 Develop approach for project prioritization
- How should funds be allocated to capital projects?
 - Develop approach for capital program structure

Annual Projected State Transit Capital Deficit by Scenario (FY18 – FY27)



Long List of Revenue Options Considered

•	Airport use excise tax	•	Local water/wastewater utility user fee	
٠	Alcohol tax	•	Fees for trucks servicing the port	
٠	Amusement taxes	•	Inspection/monitoring/testing fee	
•	Building permit tax	•	Off and/or on-street parking space fee	
•	Dedicated value added taxes	•	Project investment fee	
•	Energy & utilities taxes	•	Septic system impact fee	
•	Fertilizer/pesticide taxes (agricultural chemicals)	•	Solid waste disposal fee (tipping fees, septage/sludge fees)	
•	Hotel excise tax	•	Special permitting fees	
•	Disposal tax surcharge	•	State public water supply withdrawal fee	
•	Improvement district tax	•	Transportation/Infrastructure fee for non-profits/governmental	
•	Insurance premium taxes		organizations whose property is not subject to property taxes	
•	Litter control tax	•	Utility rights application fee	
•	Marine facilities tax	•	Vehicle registration fee for public colleges/universities	
•	Marine fuels tax	•	Vehicle use fees based on mileage (payable w/ state inspection)	
	Restaurant/prepared food tax	•	Well permit/pumping fee	
	Tax on marine vessels	•	Container truck surcharge	
	Tax on personal watercraft (personal property)	•	Development of public-private partnerships	
	Vehicle titling tax		Leasing of air space and right-of-way	
	Licensing and recreational fee		Lottery and/or casino revenue / dedicated lottery Tourist tolls on roadways as part of toll system	
	Local aquifer protection fee		Traffic violation revenues - percentage	
	Tobacco tax		Cap and Trade	
	Voluntary "check off" designating a portion of state income		Driver license fee	
	taxes to go towards identified item	•	HOT Lanes	
	Access rights fee	•	Franchise fee	
	Bicycle registration fee	•	Taxes on Certain Transportation and Transmission Companies	
	Construction fee	•	Petroleum Business Tax	
	Connection fee	•	Tire Tax	
	Commercial and industrial property tax	•	Occupational license tax	
	Property tax	•	Dedicate portion of commercial and/or residential real estate taxes of	or
	Fuel Tax		impose a separate special tax district	
	Hospitality tax	•	Increase sales tax base to include more services - dedicate extra rev	venue
	Mortgage transaction fee		transportation	
	Real estate transfer tax	•	Impact fees / proffers for new development	
	Recordation Taxes	•	Car registration fees	
	Rental car taxes	٠	Car tax (personal property)	
	Sales and use tax	•	Head tax (based on # of employees)	
	Toll increase/implementation	•	Impact fees / proffers / contributions for new development	
		٠	Income tax for localities with the proceeds dedicated to transit	
-	Special regional transportation taxing districts	•	Joint Development	
	Payroll Tax	•	Naming rights	
Ų	Rစာရာများချင်မှာမျှင်ပွဲများမျှင် advertising space on public facilities			9

Recommendations for Transit Capital Revenue

- Consider a package that includes a variety of sources
- Consider a combination of statewide and regional options
 - Regional funds should be dedicated and prioritized regionally
- Consider incremental implementation of revenue enhancements (3 to 5 year phase-in)
- Consider implementation of a floor on regional gas taxes as part of solution
- Consider dedication of additional PTF revenues to transit capital
- WMATA needs are not fully factored into the analysis and may result in additional revenue needs beyond replacement of the PRIIA resources.

Illustrative Structure for Capital Program Prioritization



Policy Principles for Prioritization

- It is possible and desirable to prioritize transit capital projects using quantitative and qualitative measures
- Prioritization policies should be developed by CTB, in a manner similar to Smart Scale, via Board policy
- Allow for input/outreach to transit partners and ongoing process improvement

Principles for Transit Capital Program Structure



Next Steps

- July 2017 CTB Resolution endorsing final report with legislative recommendations
- August 1 Report due to General Assembly
- Future:
 - Development and implementation of CTB policy on transit capital prioritization

WMATA

- Large backlog of deferred rail maintenance
- Ridership declines due to reduced reliability and SafeTrack service disruptions
 - Ridership is also falling in other cities although not as much
- Unlike other major transit systems, no dedicated non-federal funding source
- \$150 million/year special federal capital funds since 2009; expires in 2018
- WMATA has identified a total of \$15.5B in additional capital needs over 10 years; only \$10.5B funded

Virginia Legislative Mandate

- "objective review of the operating, governance and financial conditions at WMATA"
 - Legal and organizational structure
 - Composition of board; qualifications of members; length of terms
 - Labor costs, including employee benefits
 - Options to lower costs and improve efficiency
- Compare WMATA to other transit systems at least 35 years old with 35 or more miles of rail
- Request participation of DC and Maryland

WMATA Consensus Building Effort

- Gov. McAuliffe recruited former USDOT Secretary and U.S. Congressman Ray LaHood to develop recommendations
- LaHood will consult officials in the region to look for a path forward
- Initial Recommendations expected in September; Report due to General Assembly in November

Recommendations

- Sec. LaHood asked to make recommendations
- May involve changes to board, management, costs, funding
- Implementation of recommendations could be through changes to Interstate Compact or other means
- Changes to Compact require legislation in VA, MD and DC, and Act of Congress
- Legislative mandate to report back to VA General Assembly by November 15, 2017



DRPT Passenger and Freight Rail Projects

Passenger and Freight Projects in Virginia



Importance of Passenger Rail to the I-95 Corridor

















83,000

TONS OF FREIGHT

18,000

PEOPLE

CARS AND BUSES CARRY APPROXIMATELY 350,000 **PEOPLE**

METRORAIL CARRIES APPROXIMATELY





AMTRAK CARRIES APPROXIMATELY



DC2RVA Study Area



Ashland Hanover Community Advisory Committee

•BRPT•

• Advise and inform DRPT on DC2RVA alternatives and issues in Ashland/Hanover

- Review alternatives studied to date
- Recommend modifications or new alternatives
- Identify and represent the concerns of members' communities
- Apply a structured transparent approach seeking consensus
- Expected to meet monthly through October

Existing and Proposed Round Trips





Existing and Proposed Round Trips

Build (2025)

- **Changes from No Build**
- 9 new SEHSR roundtrips
 - 4 to North Carolina
 - 3 to Norfolk
 - 1 to Newport News
 - 1 to Richmond



Expected EIS Schedule





Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation

Agency Update

Virginia Association of Counties June 20, 2017 Jennifer Mitchell Director